



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,270	09/28/2000	David Kammer	PALM-3197.US.P 6725		
49637	7590 06/13/2005		EXAMINER		
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C.			LY, NGHI H		
9255 SUNSE	T BOULEVARD				
SUITE 810		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGEL	ES. CA 90069		2686		

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/676,2	70	KAMMER, DAVID			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Nghi H. L		2686			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. ' CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. ys, a reply within the stary period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>24 January 200</u>	<u>05</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is r	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte</i> Qı	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11-26</u> is/are pending	in the application	٦.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from co	nsideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1.3-9 and 11-26 is/are rejected						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) I	oe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requir	red if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f		, ,	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc2. Certified copies of the priority doc			on No			
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •				
	application from the International	•		a in this Hational Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action fo	·		d.			
			,				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Da		٠		
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08)	6) Other:	ателт Аррисация (СТО-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 9 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 9, the newly added limitation recites "<u>using said name</u> to retrieve <u>said address</u> from said memory cache." The specification page 31, lines 15-17 of the present invention discloses that "if <u>address</u> information 750 is known, the corresponding user-friendly <u>name</u> 760 can be determined and retrieved from the memory cache 710." The disclosure does not disclose "<u>using said name</u> to retrieve said address from said memory cache". Therefore, the above emphasized limitation was not described in the specification at the time the invention was filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3-8 and 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Specification of the Bluetooth System, Wireless Connections made easy, Profiles (hereinafter referred to as "the Specification") in view of Slaughter, III et al (US 5,598,536) and further in view of Kephart et al (US 6,026,445) and Barry et al (US 5,293,644).

Regarding **claim 1**, the Specification discloses a method and a wireless communication device for discovering the name of a responding device in an initiator device having a wireless transceiver comprising broadcasting a first wireless signal to be received by the responding device (inquiry (GIAC) from A to B, B', B"), receiving a second wireless signal from the responding device, the second wireless signal is sent to the first wireless signal and comprising an address for the responding device (inquiry responses from B', B" to A). See Fig. 6.1 on page 38. The Specification shows the Initiator storing a list of Bluetooth Device Addresses but fails to teach the feature of accessing a memory cache comprising names of devices, retrieving a name for the responding device from the memory cache.

Slaughter discloses a remote access server that allows remote users access to a local computer network and includes the feature of using a user ID string as entered by the user and communicated over a digital and/or analog communication link or links to the remote access server which uses the user ID string to index into a database an retrieve an IP address associated with the ID string (see col. 3, line 13 to col. 4, line 10, col. 6, line 65 to col. 7, line 10).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the indexing of an user ID with a stored address into the discovery process of the Specification in order to facilitate the transparent access to the network by remote authorized users.

The combination of the Specification and Slaughter does not specifically disclose determining whether a name for the responding device is present in the memory cache and transmitting a request for a name to the responding device provided a name for the responding device is absent from the memory cache, receiving a name for the responding device in response to the request, and storing the name received from the responding device in the memory cache, wherein the name is indexed in the memory cache using the address for the responding device.

Kephart teaches determining whether a name for the responding device is present in the memory cache and transmitting a request for a name to the responding device provided a name for the responding device is absent from the memory cache (see Abstract), receiving a name for the responding device in response to the request (see Abstract, column 2, lines 44-60 and column 4, lines 27-39), and storing the name received from the responding device in the memory cache (also see Abstract, column 2, lines 44-60 and column 4, lines 27-39), wherein the name is indexed in the memory cache using the address for the responding device (also see Abstract, column 2, lines 44-60 and column 4, lines 27-39).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Kephart into the system of the

Art Unit: 2686

Specification and Slaughter in order to provide a system and method for quickly obtain computer name when the name server is off line.

The system of the Specification, Slaughter and Kephart does not specifically disclose the responding device and wherein said name is retrievable from said memory cache using said address.

Barry teaches the responding device and wherein said name is retrievable from said memory cache using said address (see column 4, lines 35-48).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Barry into the system of the Specification, Slaughter and Kephart in order to provide a method and apparatus that enables an RF communication system to efficiently support subfleet calls for both voice and data communications (see Barry, column 2, lines 25-29).

Regarding claim 3, the modified Specification, Kephart and Barry does not specifically disclose removing from the memory cache an entry for one of the devices when a total number of cache entries exceeds a predetermined limit, the entry comprising a name and an address, wherein an entry is removed from the memory cache according to an aging scheme, wherein the aging scheme ranks entries according to frequency of use as well updating the memory cache when the name for the responding device is changed.

Slaughter further discloses that the database is maintained by the network manager who exercises control (e.g., has the authority and ability to define, add, and delete) remote user names, user ID strings and IP addresses (see col. 4, lines 4-10),

Art Unit: 2686

suggesting the capability to remove a stored entry as well as carrying out update of entries in memory.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Slaughter to provide needed removal and updating of entries in the memory in order to dynamically maintain and conserve the database or cache memory space as the entries changes including purging old entries that that have not been used or accessed for some time in the above combination of the Specification, Slaughter and Kephart.

Regarding claim 4, claim 4 is rejected with the similar reason at set forth in claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 5, claim 5 is rejected with the similar reason at set forth in claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 6, the combination of the Specification, Slaughter and Kephart does not specifically disclose displaying the name on a display of the initiator device. The concept of displaying names and information on wireless communication devices is very well known in the art and examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a communication device having display capabilities for the benefit of verifying the retrieved name or address in the Specification as modified by Slaughter.

Regarding claim 7, the Specification shows wherein the initiator device and responding device are Bluetooth-enabled devices (see page 38, section 6.2.1).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 8, the Specification shows the initiator device is a portable computer system (see page 38, section 6.2.1 and fig.2.2 and fig.2.3).

Regarding claim 18, claim 18 is rejected with the similar reason at set forth in claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 19, the system of the Specification, Slaughter and Kephart does not specifically disclose broadcasting a second wireless signal to be received by said responding receiving said address from said responding device in response to said second wireless signal; and retrieving from said memory cache said name corresponding to said address.

Barry teaches broadcasting a second wireless signal to be received by said responding receiving said address from said responding device in response to said second wireless signal; and retrieving from said memory cache said name corresponding to said address (see column 4, lines 35-48).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Barry into the system of the Specification, Slaughter and Kephart in order to provide a method and apparatus that enables an RF communication system to efficiently support subfleet calls for both voice and data communications (see Barry, column 2, lines 25-29).

Regarding claim 20, claim 20 is rejected with the similar reason at set forth in claim 6 above.

Regarding claim 21, claim 21 is rejected with the similar reason at set forth in claim 3 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,270 Page 8

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 22, the Specification as modified by Slaughter and Kephart would show transmitting a wireless request for a name to the responding device (inquiry), receiving a name for the responding device (name request), (see the Specification, Fig. 6.4, sections 6.4.1-6.4.4, and storing the name of the responding device in the memory cache, wherein the name is indexed in the memory cache using the address for the responding device as taught by Slaughter, column 3, lines 60-67).

Regarding claim 23, claim 23 is rejected with the similar reason at set forth in claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 24, claim 24 is rejected with the similar reason at set forth in claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 25, the Specification shows wherein the initiator device and responding device are Bluetooth-enabled devices (see page 38, section 6.2.1).

Regarding claim 26, the Specification shows the initiator device is a portable computer system (see page 38, section 6.2.1 and fig.2.2 and fig.2.3).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-9 and 11-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2686

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2686

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

Marcha D Banks-Harold MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600